LSU Health Sciences Center – New Orleans

2023 Unclassified Employee Performance Evaluation

****SOM LEADERSHIP EVALUATION SUPPLEMENTAL RATING FORM****

Form Note: Adobe Acrobat is required to complete this form. Do Not use an Internet browser to complete as this may impact form calculations.

| Faculty Name | Evaluation Ratings |
|-------------------------|--|
| Reviewer/Evaluator Name | 1 = Unsatisfactory (Does not meet expectations) 2 = Needs Improvement (Meets some but not all expectations) 3 = Successful / Meets Expectations 4 = Exceeds Expectations (Meets all and exceeded some expectations) 5 = Outstanding (Consistently exceeds <u>all</u> expectations) |
| | Self-Evaluation? Click here if you are completing a self-evaluation. \rightarrow |

Instructions: Assign weights to each section and rate performance for each criteria.

Research and Scholarship: _____% of overall job department/office expectations

| N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteria. | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Teaching and Mentorship: _____% of overall department/office expectations

| | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Quantity of departmental teaching and/or mentorship | | | | | | |
| 2. Quality of departmental teaching and/or mentorship | | | | | | |
| 3. Development and implementation of innovations in education | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteri | a. | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteria Comments: | a. | | | | | |
| | a. | | | | | |
| | a. | | | | | |

| Service and Administration:% of overall department/o | office e | expec | tatio | ns | | |
|---|----------|-------|-------|----|---|---|
| | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Quantity and quality of departmental clinical service | | | | | | |
| 2. Impact of departmental administrative service for the school or HSC | | | | | | |
| 3. Departmental compliance with University (and Hospital) processes and procedures | | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteria. | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Leadership and Development: 10% of overall job duties

| | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Mentors junior faculty for advancement | | | | | | |
| 2. Develops mid-career/senior faculty for leadership | | | | | | |
| 3. Demonstrates effective problem solving | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteria. | | | | | | |

Collaboration and Communication: 10% of overall job duties

| | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Clear, tactful, and efficient communication | | | | | | |
| 2. Fosters collaboration within department and with external | | | | | | |
| departments/entities | | | | | | |
| 3. Respectful and courteous to colleagues, staff, and learners | | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteria. | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Integrity: 10% of overall job duties

| | N/A | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Accepts responsibility for departmental faculty and overall | | | | | | |
| performance | | | | | | |
| 2. Dependable | | | | | | |
| 3. Fosters a culture of trust, fairness, and respect | | | | | | |
| Summary Rating: Calculated as the average of all rated applicable section criteri | ia. | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

OVERALL EVALUATION RATING

Overall Evaluation Rating Calculator: Corresponding Section Summary Ratings are transferred to the Overall Evaluation Rating Calculator, then multiplied by the % weight assigned to the section. The total Weighted Score Rating for all sections determines the Overall Evaluation Rating.

| Section | % Weight Assigned to Section | | Section Summary Rating | | Weighted Rating |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Research & Scholarship | | Х | | = | |
| Teaching & Mentorship | | х | | = | |
| Service & Administration | | х | | = | |
| Leadership & Development | 0.10 | Х | | = | |
| Collaboration & Communication | 0.10 | х | | = | |
| Integrity | 0.10 | x | | = | |
| | | | | | |
| Overall Evaluation Rating | Total weight must be 100% | | | | |

Overall Rating Scale & Overall Rating Category

| 4.50 - 5.00 | Outstanding |
|-------------|----------------------|
| 3.50 - 4.49 | Exceeds Expectations |
| 2.50 - 3.49 | Successful |
| 1.50 – 2.49 | Needs Improvement |
| 1.00 - 1.49 | Unsatisfactory |

**Signature does not indicate agreement or disagreement but simply that the evaluation has been discussed.

| REVIEWER SIGNATURE: | I have discussed with my employee the performance evaluation ratings enclosed and the performance expectations for him/her during the upcoming rating period. |
|---|---|
| | DATE: |
| EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE | My manager has discussed with me the performance evaluation ratings enclosed on which I have been rated and the desired performance expectations for the upcoming rating period. |
| | DATE: |
| | |
| 2 nd Level Reviewer SIGNATURE | In support of fair and equitable evaluations, a 2 nd Level Reviewer will be required for any OVERALL RATING of (5) Outstanding, or (1) Unsatisfactory. 2 nd Level Reviewers should evaluate the completed form ensuring sufficient documentation/comments have been included to warrant the overall rating. |

DATE: -----